

Area Work Group development of area EQIP.

AREA 6 FY03 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

#1 Water Resource Protection

#2 Air Quality

#3 Erosion Control

#4 Wastewater and Runoff Control

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

NONE

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	H	7,8,8,8,10,9,8= 8
A2 Gully Control	H	5,7,8,7,7,8,7= 7
B1 Water Resource	H	10,10,10,9,7,10,9= 9
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	H	8,10,7,6,7,10,7= 8
C Habitat Improvement	L	3,1,1,2,1,2,3= 2
D Air Quality	H	6,8,9,10,10,10,8= 9
E Impaired Water	H	9,7,7,5,8,9,10= 8
F Distance	M	4,6,4,4,4,6,4= 5
G Grazing System	L	2,2,1,3,1,3,3= 2
H Forest Mgt.	L	1,1,1,1,1,3,2= 1
Additional Local*		

* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities.

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document

NONE

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 03 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Chair, Local Work Group

Date

Special Considerations:

#1 One-tenth (\$100,000) of the Area 6 EQIP funds (\$1 million) shall be allocated to applications with practice(s) having the primary purpose of improving air quality. If insufficient applications are available, the funds shall be allocated to applications which address other Area 6 resource concerns.

#2 If a total point tie exists between applications which primarily address Factor B2, Wastewater and Runoff Control/CNMP, they will be ranked according to their FLEVAL rating.

#3 If a total point tie exists between applications which primarily address Factor A2, Classic or Ephemeral Gully Control, they will be ranked according to the total tons per year of soil saved.

July 10, 2003 10:00am-12:00pm Area Work Group Meeting Attendance

Steve Becker NRCS

Greg Roiger Area 6 SWCD

Kevin Kuehner Brown-Nicollet-Cottonwood Clean Water Board

Pam Rivers Nicollet County Environmental Services

Larry Schmidt NRCS

Jeff Nielsen BWSR Regional

Dave Preisler MPPA